

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAQUAWAN REDMOND

v.

WETZEL, *et al.*

CIVIL ACTION NO. 21-2652

ORDER

AND NOW, this 9th day of May 2024, for the reasons stated in the Order approving the Report and Recommendation, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED** without a hearing.
2. A certificate of appealability will not issue as there is no basis for concluding that “reasonable jurists could debate whether . . . the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further;”¹ and
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** the case.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ **Cynthia M. Rufe**

CYNTHIA M. Rufe, J

¹ *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (internal citation and quotation omitted).